

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,660	08/05/2002	Richard Heller	1372.42	5315
21901	7590 06/02/2004		EXAM	INER
SMITH & HOPEN PA			BRADFORD, RODERICK D	
15950 BAY V SUITE 220	15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWATER, FL 33760			3762	·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/064,660	HELLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roderick Bradford	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  /s will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 f						
/23	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination of the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination of the Examination is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination of the Examinatio	cepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestince a specific reference was included in the first sentence of 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the first sentence of 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting the first sentence of 15 claim for domesting the first	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Inst of the certified copies not receive it is priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 inst sentence of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 12 institution in	tion No  yed in this National Stage  yed.  ye(e) (to a provisional application)  or in an Application Data Sheet.  eceived.  20 and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/064,660

Art Unit: 3762

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1 -3, 8 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hoffmann U.S. Patent No. 6,520,950.

Referring to claim 1, Hoffman discloses a method for effecting electroporation coincident with electromigration of molecules into cells, applying an electrical pulse to a cellular target, application of the pulse commencing at a pulse initiation time and concluding at a pulse termination time, the time between the pulse initiation time and the

pulse termination time defining a pulse duration, the application of the electrical pulse occurring during the pulse duration, the electrical pulse further comprising a predetermined waveform and wherein the waveform further comprise at least two continuous waveforms (column 9, lines 4-7 and column 18, lines 30-31) and wherein each of the at last two continuous waveform components further comprise a predetermined voltage level and a predetermined component duration (column 8, lines 8-21).

Referring to claim 2, wherein the preselected electrical waveform comprises at least one curved component (column 7, lines 64-65).

Referring to claim 3, wherein the at least one curved component has a duration no greater than five minutes and a maximum amplitude no greater than 10,000 V/cm (column 7, lines 64-65 and column 8, lines 19-21).

Referring to claim 22, wherein the plurality of coincident, substantially rectangular components have durations no greater than five minutes and maximum amplitudes no greater than 10,000 V/cm (column 7, lines 64-65 and column 8, lines 19-21).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Art Unit: 3762

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 4-7, 9-19 and 23-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann U.S. Patent No. 6,520,950.

Referring to claims 4-7, 9-19 and 23-25, Hoffmann discloses the claimed except for different waveform components as stated in claims 4-7, 9-19 and 23-25. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art, to modify the system and method of Hoffmann to include different waveform components, since applicant has not disclosed that the different waveform components as set forth in claims 4, 6, 7, 9-19 and 23-25 provides any criticality and/or unexpected results and it appears that the invention would perform equally as well with waveform components, such as the waveform components as taught by Hoffmann as a means of varying the power in order for the cells to breakdown.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schoenbach U.S. Patent No. 6,236,177.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/064,660

Art Unit: 3762

Page 5

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Roderick Bradford whose telephone number is (703)

305-3287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7 a.m. - 4 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0858.

D D

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Cingel. De Ash

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700